

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 01/25/2001 Edmund W. Brown 4551 09/769,590 328.002 EXAMINER 23598 7590 01/16/2004 BOYLE FREDRICKSON NEWHOLM STEIN & GRATZ, S.C. GRAHAM, MATTHEW C 250 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1030** MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 3683

DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

b	Office Action Summary	Application No. Applicant(s) BROWN
		Examiner Art Unit 3683
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 		
Status	_	
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·································
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) This ac	tion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 22-30, 32, 34, 35 AND 37-40 is/are pending in the application.		
4)	Claim(s) $22-30$, 32 , 34 , 3	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6)	Claim(s) 22 - 30, 32, 34, 35	ANO 37-40 is/are rejected.
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) bobjected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examine		
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:		
1. U Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) [Inf	omation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/769,590

Art Unit: 3641

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on 10-24-2003.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 22-30, 32, 34, 35 and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British publication 1, 257, 827 in view of Kroeker et al.

See Fig. 2 -- flow control valves 48 and check valves. The valves are adjustable via adjustment screws 52.

The flow in conduit D is controlled via valves 48.

The claimed invention differs only in the type of control valves.

Kroeker et al. show adjustable control valves having discrete user selectable settings in a shock absorber (note valves 88, 90).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized manually adjustable valves in the shock absorber of Publication '827 in view of the teaching of Kroeker et al. so as to provide greater control of the shock absorber such as taught by Kroeker et al.

As per applicant's comments, the valves 48 are adjustable via screws 52.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mr. Graham at telephone number (703) 308-1113.

Art Unit: 3641

Graham/vs December 31, 2003

1. 2. Al 1/2004

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM